arXiv:1511.08133v1 [math.MG] 25 Nov 2015

# How rigid the finite ultrametric spaces can be?

O. Dovgoshey, E. Petrov and H.-M. Teichert

**Abstract.** A metric space $X$ is rigid if the isometry group of $X$ is trivial. The finite ultrametric spaces $X$ with $|X| \geq 2$ are not rigid since for every such $X$ there is a self-isometry having exactly $|X|-2$ fixed points. Using the representing trees we characterize the finite ultrametric spaces $X$ for which every self-isometry has at least $|X| - 2$ fixed points. Some other extremal properties of such spaces and related graph theoretical characterizations are also obtained.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010).** Primary 54E35.

**Keywords.** finite ultrametric space, rigidness of metric spaces, extremal problems in finite ultrametric spaces, fixed point, representing tree of ultrametric space.

## 1. Introduction

Recall some definitions from the theory of metric spaces. A *metric* on a set $X$ is a function $d\colon X \times X \to \mathbb{R}^+$, $\mathbb{R}^+ = [0, \infty)$, such that for all $x$, $y$, $z \in X$:

(i) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$,
(ii) $(d(x, y) = 0) \Leftrightarrow (x = y)$,
(iii) $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$.

A metric space $(X, d)$ is *ultrametric* if the *strong triangle inequality*

$$d(x, y) \leq \max\{d(x, z), d(z, y)\}$$

holds for all $x$, $y$, $z \in X$. In this case $d$ is called an ultrametric on $X$ and $(X, d)$ is an ultrametric space. The *spectrum* of a metric space $(X, d)$ is the set

$$\mathrm{Sp}(X) = \{d(x, y)\colon x, y \in X\}.$$

In 2001 at the Workshop on General Algebra [15] the attention of experts on the theory of lattices was guided to the following problem of I. M. Gelfand: using graph theory describe up to isometry all finite ultrametric spaces. An

The research of the first and second authors was supported as a part of EUMLS project with grant agreement PIRSES – GA – 2011 – 295164.

appropriate representation of ultrametric spaces by rooted trees was proposed in [10], [11], [13], [16].

An application of the representation from [10], [11] is a structural characteristic of all finite ultrametric spaces $X$ for which the Gomory-Hu inequality

$$|\operatorname{Sp}(X)| \leq |X|$$

becomes an equality (see [7] and [17]). The purpose of this papers is to describe the structure of finite ultrametric spaces which have maximum rigidity.

Recall that a *graph* is a pair $(V, E)$ consisting of a nonempty set $V$ and a (probably empty) set $E$ elements of which are unordered pairs of different points from $V$. For a graph $G = (V, E)$, the sets $V = V(G)$ and $E = E(G)$ are called *the set of vertices* and *the set of edges*, respectively. A graph is *complete* if $\{x, y\} \in E(G)$ for all distinct $x, y \in V(G)$. A *path* in a graph $G$ is a subgraph $P$ of $G$ for which

$$V(P) = \{x_0, x_1, ..., x_k\}, \quad E(P) = \{\{x_0, x_1\}, ..., \{x_{k-1}, x_k\}\},$$

where all $x_i$ are distinct. A graph $G$ is *connected* if any two distinct vertices of $G$ can be joined by a path. A finite graph $C$ is a cycle if $|V(C)| \geq 3$ and there exists an enumeration $(v_1, \ldots, v_n)$ of its vertices such that

$$(\{v_i, v_j\} \in E(C)) \Leftrightarrow (|i - j| = 1 \text{ or } |i - j| = n - 1).$$

A connected graph without cycles is called a *tree*. A tree $T$ may have a distinguished vertex called the *root*; in this case $T$ is called a *rooted tree*. For a rooted tree $T$, we denote by $L_T$ the set of leaves of $T$. We denote by $(G, v, l)$ a graph $G$ with a *distinguished vertex* $v \in V(G)$ and a *labeling function* $l\colon V(G) \to L$. In what follows we usually suppose that the set $L$ coincides with $\mathbb{R}^+$.

Let $k \geq 2$. A graph $G$ is called *complete $k$-partite* if its vertices can be divided into $k$ disjoint nonempty sets $X_1, ..., X_k$ so that there are no edges joining the vertices of the same set $X_i$ and any two vertices from different $X_i, X_j$, $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ are adjacent. In this case we write $G = G[X_1, ..., X_k]$. We shall say that $G$ is a *complete multipartite graph* if there exists $k \geq 2$ such that $G$ is complete $k$-partite, cf. [3].

## 2. The representing trees of finite ultrametric spaces

For every metric space $(X, d)$ we write

$$\operatorname{diam} X = \sup\{d(x, y) : x, y \in X\}.$$

**Definition 2.1 ([4]).** Let $(X, d)$ be a finite ultrametric space, $|X| \geqslant 2$. Define the *diametrical* graph $G_X$ as follows $V(G_X) = X$ and, for all $u$, $v \in X$,

$$(\{u, v\} \in E(G_X)) \Leftrightarrow (d(u, v) = \operatorname{diam} X).$$

**Lemma 2.2 ([4]).** *Let $(X, d)$ be a finite ultrametric space, $|X| \geq 2$. Then $G_X = G_X[X_1, ..., X_k]$ for some $k \geq 2$.*

With a finite nonempty ultrametric space $(X, d)$, we can associate a labeled rooted tree $T_X$ by the following rule. The root of $T_X$ is, by definition, the set $X$. If $X = \{x\}$ is a one-point set, then $T_X$ is a tree consisting of one node $\{x\}$ which has the label 0. Let $|X| \geq 2$. According to Lemma 2.2 we have $G_X = G_X[X_1, ..., X_k]$. In this case, we set that, the root of the tree $T_X$ is labeled by $\operatorname{diam} X$ and $T_X$ has $k$ nodes $X_1, ..., X_k$ of the first level with the labels

$$l(X_i) := \operatorname{diam} X_i \tag{2.1}$$

$i = 1, ..., k$. The nodes of the first level indicated by zero are leaves, and those indicated by positive numbers are internal nodes of $T_X$. If the first level has no internal nodes, then the tree $T_X$ is constructed. Otherwise, by repeating the above-described procedure with $X_i$ corresponding to internal nodes of the first level instead of $X$, we obtain the nodes of the second level, etc. Since $X$ is finite, all vertices on some level will be leaves, and the construction of $T_X$ is completed.

The above-constructed labeled rooted tree $T_X$ is called the *representing tree* of the ultrametric space $(X, d)$.

Let $(T, v^*)$ be a rooted tree. For every node $u^*$ of $(T, v^*)$ define a rooted subtree $T_{u^*}$ of $(T, v^*)$ as follows: $u^*$ is the root of $T_{u^*}$ and a vertex $w \in T$ belongs to $V(T_{u^*})$ if and only if $u^*$ lies on the path joining $v^*$ and $w$ in $T$, moreover

$$(\{u, v\} \in E(T_{u^*})) \Leftrightarrow (\{u, v\} \in E(T)).$$

for all $u$, $v \in V(T_{u^*})$.

The following lemma was formulated in [17] for finite ultrametric spaces $X$ satisfying the equality $|X| = |\operatorname{Sp}(X)|$ but its proof is also true for arbitrary finite ultrametric spaces.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let $(X, d)$ be a finite ultrametric space, $|X| \geq 2$, and let $a$ and $b$ be two different leaves of the tree $T_X$. If $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$, $x_1 = a$, $x_n = b$, is the path joining $a$ and $b$ in $T_X$, then*

$$d(a, b) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} l(x_i). \tag{2.2}$$

Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space. Recall that a subset $B$ of $X$ is a *ball* in $(X, d)$ if there is $r \geq 0$ and $t \in X$ such that

$$B = \{x \in X \colon d(x, t) \leq r\}.$$

In this case we write $B = B_r(t)$. By $\mathbf{B}_X$ we denote the set of all balls in $(X, d)$.

The proof of the next lemma can be found in [18] but we reproduce it here for the convenience of the reader.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let $(X, d)$ be a finite ultrametric space with representing tree $T_X$, $|X| \geq 2$. Then*

(i) *$L_{T_v} \in \mathbf{B}_X$ holds for every node $v \in V(T_X)$.*
(ii) *For every $B \in \mathbf{B}_X$ there exists a node $v$ such that $L_{T_v} = B$.*

*Proof.* **(i)** Let $v \in V(T_X)$ and $t \in L_{T_v}$. Consider the ball

$$B_{l(v)}(t) = \{x \in X \colon d(x,t) \le l(v)\}.$$

Let $t_1 \in L_{T_v}$ such that $t_1 \ne t$. Since $T_v$ contains a path joining $t$ and $t_1$, according to Lemma 2.3 we have $d(t,t_1) \le l(v)$. The inclusion $L_{T_v} \subseteq B_{l(v)}(t)$ is proved. Conversely, suppose there exists $t_0 \in B_{l(v)}(t)$ such that $t_0 \notin L_{T_v}$. Let us consider the path $(t_0, v_1, ..., v_n, t)$. From $t_0 \notin L_{T_v}$ it follows that $\max\limits_{1\le i\le n} l(v_i) > l(v)$, i.e. $d(t_0,t) > l(v)$, we have a contradiction.

**(ii)** Let $t \in X$ and $B = B_r(t)$, where $r = \operatorname{diam} B$. Let $x$, $y \in B$ with $d(x,y) = r$. Let us consider the path $(v_1, ..., v_n)$ with $v_1 = x$ and $v_n = y$ in the tree $T_X$. According to Lemma 2.3 we have $d(x,y) = \max\limits_{1\le i\le n} l(v_i)$. Let $i$ be an index such that max here is attained. The proof of the equality $L_{T_{v_i}} = B$ is analogous to the proof of (i). □

Let $(G^i, v^i, l^i)$, $i = 1, 2$, be a labeled graphs with the distinguished vertices $v^1$, $v^2$ and a common set $L$ of labels. A bijective function $f : V(G^1) \to V(G^2)$ is an isomorphism of $G^1$ and $G^2$ if

$$(\{x,y\} \in E(G^1)) \Leftrightarrow (\{f(x), f(y)\} \in E(G^2))$$

for all $x$, $y \in V(G^1)$. If, in addition, we have $f(v^1) = v^2$, then $f$ is an isomorphism of $(G^1, v^1)$ and $(G^2, v^2)$. The isomorphism $f$ of $(G^1, v^1)$ and $(G^2, v^2)$ is called an isomorphism of the $(G^1, v^1, l^1)$ and $(G^2, v^2, l^2)$ if $l^1(v) = l^2(f(v))$ for every $v \in V(G^1)$.

**Definition 2.5.** Let $(X, d)$ and $(Y, \rho)$ be metric spaces. A bijective function $f : X \to Y$ is an *isometry* if

$$d(x,y) = \rho(f(x), f(y))$$

holds for all $x$, $y \in X$.

Two metric spaces $(X, d)$ and $(Y, \rho)$ are *isometric* if there is an isometry $f : X \to Y$.

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let $(X, d)$ and $(Y, \rho)$ be finite nonempty ultrametric spaces. Then $(X, d)$ and $(Y, \rho)$ are isometric if and only if the labeled rooted trees $T_X$ and $T_Y$ are isomorphic.*

*Proof.* An isomorphism of $T_X$ and $T_Y$ for isometric $X$ and $Y$ can be inductively constructed if we use of the definition of the representing trees given above. The converse statement follows from Lemma 2.3. □

For the relationships between ultrametric spaces and the leaves or the ends of certain trees see also [2, 8, 10–13, 15, 16].

Let $(X, d)$ be a finite metric space and let $B_1$, $B_2$ and $B$ be some balls in $(X, d)$. We shall say that $B$ *lies between* $B_1$ and $B_2$ if

$$B_1 \subseteq B \subseteq B_2 \text{ or } B_2 \subseteq B \subseteq B_1.$$

If $B$ lies between $B_1$ and $B_2$, we write $B \in [B_1, B_2]$.

**Definition 2.7.** Let us define a graph $\Gamma_X$ by the rule: $V(\Gamma_X) = \mathbf{B}_X$ and, for all $B_1,\ B_2 \in \mathbf{B}_X$, $\{B_1, B_2\} \in E(\Gamma_X)$ if and only if

1. $B_1 \subseteq B_2$ or $B_2 \subseteq B_1$, and
2. $(B \in [B_1, B_2]) \Rightarrow (B = B_1$ or $B = B_2)$ for every $B \in \mathbf{B}_X$.

For any labeled rooted tree $T_X = (T_X, X, l)$ we write $\overline{T}_X = (T_X, X)$. In the following theorem we presuppose that $X \in \mathbf{B}_X$ is a distinguished point in $\Gamma_X$.

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let $(X, d)$ be a finite nonempty metric space. Then the graph $\Gamma_X$ is a tree if and only if $(X, d)$ is ultrametric. If $(X, d)$ is ultrametric, then $(\Gamma_X, X)$ is isomorphic to the rooted tree $\overline{T}_X$ with the isomorphism $V(\overline{T}_X) \ni u \mapsto L_{T_u} \in V(\Gamma_X)$.*

*Proof.* Suppose that $(X, d)$ is not an ultrametric space. Then there are distinct $x_1,\ x_2,\ x_3 \in X$ such that

$$d(x_1, x_2) > \max\{d(x_1, x_3), d(x_3, x_2)\}. \tag{2.3}$$

Let $B^1 = B_{r_1}(x_1)$ with $r_1 = d(x_1, x_3)$ and let $B^2 = B_{r_2}(x_2)$ with $r_2 = d(x_2, x_3)$. It is evident that

$$\{x_3\} \subseteq B^1 \cap B^2 \subseteq B^1 \cup B^2 \subseteq X. \tag{2.4}$$

Using (2.4) and the finiteness of $\mathbf{B}_X$ we can find $B^0 \in \mathbf{B}_X$ such that $B^0 \subseteq B^1 \cap B^2$ and

$$(B^0 \subseteq B \subseteq B^1 \cap B^2) \Rightarrow (B^0 = B)$$

for every $B \in \mathbf{B}_X$. Similarly there exists $B^3 \in \mathbf{B}_X$ such that

$$B^3 \supseteq B^1 \cup B^2$$

and

$$(B^1 \cup B^2 \subseteq B \subseteq B^3) \Rightarrow (B = B^3)$$

for every $B \in \mathbf{B}_X$.

For all distinct balls $C,\ D \in \mathbf{B}_X$ satisfying $C \subseteq D$, there is a chain

$$L(C, D) = \{A_1, \ldots, A_n\} \subseteq \mathbf{B}_X$$

such that

$$A_1 = C, \quad A_n = D, \quad A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \ldots \subseteq A_n$$

and, for every $B \in \mathbf{B}_X$ and $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$,

$$(A_i \subseteq B \subseteq A_{i+1}) \Rightarrow (B = A_i \text{ or } B = A_{i+1}). \tag{2.5}$$

Using (2.3) we see that $x_1 \notin B^2$ and $x_2 \notin B^1$. Consequently the balls $B^0$, $B^1$, $B^2$, $B^3$ are pairwise distinct, so that there exist the chains $L(B^0, B^1)$, $L(B^0, B^2)$, $L(B^1, B^3)$ and $L(B^2, B^3)$. Let $L(B^0, B^1) = (A_1, \ldots, A_n)$ and $L(B^1, B^3) = (A_n, \ldots, A_{n+m})$. We claim that $L(B^0, B^1, B^3) := (A_1, \ldots, A_n, \ldots, A_{n+m})$ is a path in the graph $\Gamma_X$ joining $B^0 = A_1$ and $B^3 = A_{n+m}$.

Indeed, from the definition of $L(B^0, B^1)$ and $L(B^1, B^3)$ it follows that $A_i \neq A_j$ for any distinct $i,\ j \in \{1, \ldots, n+m\}$. Moreover, (2.5) implies that $\{A_i, A_{i+1}\} \in E(\Gamma_X)$ for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, n+m-1\}$. Hence $L(B^0, B^1, B^3)$ is a

path in $\Gamma_X$. Inequality (2.3) implies that $x_1 \notin B^2$ and $x_2 \notin B^1$, consequently $B^1 \not\subseteq B^2$ and $B^2 \not\subseteq B^1$. Since for every vertex $B \in V(L(B^0, B^1, B^3))$ we have

$$B \subseteq B^1 \text{ or } B^1 \subseteq B,$$

the ball $B^2$ is not a vertex of the path $L(B^0, B^1, B^3)$. Similarly we can construct a path $L(B^0, B^2, B^3)$ such that $B^1 \notin V(L(B^0, B^2, B^3))$. Consequently the vertices $B^0$ and $B^3$ can be joined by two different paths in $\Gamma_X$. Hence $\Gamma_X$ is not a tree.

Suppose now that $(X, d)$ is an ultrametric space. We must show that $\Gamma_X$ is a tree and that $(\Gamma_X, X)$ is isomorphic to the rooted tree $\overline{T}_X$. By Lemma 2.4, for every $v \in V(\Gamma_X)$, we have $L_{T_v} \in \mathbf{B}_X$. Consequently the mapping

$$V(\overline{T}_X) \ni v \mapsto L_{T_v} \in V(\Gamma_X) \tag{2.6}$$

is correctly defined. Hence it is sufficient to show that this mapping is an isomorphism of the rooted tree $\overline{T}_X$ and $(\Gamma_X, X)$.

Using Lemma 2.4 again we obtain that (2.6) is bijective. It is also clear that the root of $\overline{T}_X$ corresponds to $X$ under mapping (2.6). It still remains to show that

$$(\{v_1, v_2\} \in E(\overline{T}_X)) \Leftrightarrow (\{L_{T_{v_1}}, L_{T_{v_2}}\} \in E(\Gamma_X)) \tag{2.7}$$

holds for all $v_1$, $v_2 \in V(\overline{T}_X)$.

Write $B_1 = L_{T_{v_1}}$ and $B_2 = L_{T_{v_2}}$. Then it follows from the definition of the rooted subtrees that $B_1 \subseteq B_2$ if and only if $v_1$ is a node of the tree $T_{v_2}$. Moreover if $B_1 \subseteq B_2$, then

$$(B_1 \subseteq B \subseteq B_2) \Rightarrow (B_2 = B \text{ or } B_1 = B)$$

holds for all $B \in \mathbf{B}_X$ if and only if $v_1$ is a direct successor of $v_2$. Statement (2.7) follows. □



FIGURE 1. For all nonultrametric metric triangles $X$ their graphs $\Gamma_X$ are isomorphic to the depicted graph.

Since a connected graph $G$ is a tree if and only if

$$|V(G)| = |E(G)| + 1$$

(see, for example, [3, Corollary 1.5.3]), Theorem 2.8 implies the following

**Corollary 2.9.** *Let $X$ be a finite nonempty metric space. Then $X$ is an ultrametric space if and only if*

$$|V(\Gamma_X)| = |E(\Gamma_X)| + 1.$$

Recall that a graph $H$ is a *spanning subgraph* of a graph $G$ if $V(G) = V(H)$ and $E(H) \subseteq E(G)$. A graph is connected if and only if it has a spanning tree [1, Theorem 4.6].

**Corollary 2.10.** *Let $X$ be a finite nonempty metric space and $Y$ be a finite nonempty ultrametric space. If $|\mathbf{B}_X| = |\mathbf{B}_Y|$, then the inequality*

$$|E(\Gamma_X)| \geq |E(\Gamma_Y)| \tag{2.8}$$

*holds. Furthermore, equality in* (2.8) *occurs if and only if $X$ is ultrametric.*

*Proof.* It is easy to see that $\Gamma_X$ is connected. Let $T$ be a spanning tree of $\Gamma_X$. Then we have

$$|E(\Gamma_X)| \geq |E(T)|. \tag{2.9}$$

Since

$$|V(T)| = |V(\Gamma_Y)| = |V(\Gamma_X)| = |\mathbf{B}_X| = |\mathbf{B}_Y|$$

and $|V(T)| = |E(T)| + 1$ and $|V(\Gamma_Y)| = |E(\Gamma_Y)| + 1$, inequality (2.9) implies (2.8). Now using Corollary 2.9 we obtain that

$$|E(\Gamma_X)| = |E(\Gamma_Y)|$$

holds if and only if $X$ is an ultrametric space. □

## 3. Finite ultrametric spaces having maximum rigidity

Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space and let $\mathrm{Iso}(X)$ be the group of isometries of $(X, d)$. We say that $(X, d)$ is *rigid* if $|\mathrm{Iso}(X)| = 1$. It is clear that $(X, d)$ is rigid if and only if $g(x) = x$ for every $x \in X$ and every $g \in \mathrm{Iso}(X)$.

For every self-map $f\colon X \to X$ we denote by $\mathrm{Fix}(f)$ the set of fixed points of $f$. Using this denotation we obtain that a finite metric space $(X, d)$ is rigid if and only if

$$\min_{g \in \mathrm{Iso}(X)} |\mathrm{Fix}(g)| = |X|.$$

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let $(X, d)$ be a finite ultrametric space with $|X| \geq 2$. Then $(X, d)$ is nonrigid.*

*Proof.* It is sufficient to construct $g \in \mathrm{Iso}(X)$ such that

$$|\mathrm{Fix}(g)| \leq |X| - 2. \tag{3.1}$$

Since $X$ is finite, the representing tree $T_X$ contains an internal node $v$ such that the direct successors of $v$ are leaves of $T_v$. We have the inequality

$$|L_{T_v}| \geq 2$$

because $v$ is internal. Hence there is a fixed point free bijection $\psi : L_{T_v} \to L_{T_v}$, i.e.

$$|\mathrm{Fix}(\psi)| = 0 \tag{3.2}$$

holds. The leaves of $T_X$ is the one-point subsets of $X$. Identifying the leaves with their respective points of $X$ we can define a bijection $g : X \to X$ as

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} \psi(x) & \text{if } x \in L_{T_v} \\ x & \text{if } x \in X \setminus L_{T_v}. \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

Lemma 2.3 implies that $g \in \mathrm{Iso}(X)$. From (3.2) and (3.3) we obtain the equality

$$|\operatorname{Fix}(g)| = |X \setminus L_{T_v}| = |X| - |L_{T_v}|.$$

Since $|L_{T_v}| \geq 2$, inequality (3.1) follows. □

*Remark* 3.2. The Fibonacci space is an interesting example of a compact infinite rigid ultrametric space. (See [13] and [14] for some interesting properties of the Fibonacci space.)

If a metric space $(X, d)$ is finite, nonempty and nonrigid, then the inequality

$$\min_{g \in \mathrm{Iso}(X)} |\operatorname{Fix}(g)| \leq |X| - 2 \tag{3.4}$$

holds, because the existence of $|X| - 1$ fixed points for $g \in \mathrm{Iso}(X)$ implies that $g$ is identical.

The quantity $\min_{g \in \mathrm{Iso}(X)} |\operatorname{Fix}(g)|$ can be considered as a measure for "rigidness" for finite metric spaces $(X, d)$. Thus the finite ultrametric spaces satisfying the equality

$$\min_{g \in \mathrm{Iso}(X)} |\operatorname{Fix}(g)| = |X| - 2, \tag{3.5}$$

are as rigid as possible. Let us denote by $\mathfrak{R}$ the class of all finite ultrametric spaces $(X, d)$ which satisfy this equality.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let $(X, d)$ be a nonempty finite ultrametric space. Then for every set of partial self-isometries*

$$S = \{\psi_i : B_i \to B_i : i \in I\},$$

*where $I$ is an index set, such that*

$$B_i \in \mathbf{B}_X, \quad B_i \cap B_j = \varnothing$$

*for all distinct $i$, $j \in I$, there exists an isometry $\psi \in \mathrm{Iso}(X)$ for which the restriction $\psi|_{B_i}$ equals $\psi_i$ for every $i \in I$.*

*Proof.* Let us define a required $\psi$ by the rule

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} \psi_i(x), & \text{if } x \in B_i, i \in I, \\ x, & \text{if } x \in X \setminus \left( \bigcup\limits_{i \in I} B_i \right). \end{cases}$$

It follows from Lemma 2.2 that $\psi \in \mathrm{Iso}(X)$. □

Recall that a rooted tree is *strictly n-ary* if every internal node has exactly $n$ children. In the case $n = 2$ such tree is called *strictly binary*. A level of a vertex of a rooted tree $(T, v^*)$ can be defined by the following inductive rule: The level of the root $v^*$ is zero and if $u \in V(T)$ has a level $x$, then every direct successor of $u$ has the level $x + 1$.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let $(X, d)$ be a finite ultrametric space with $|X| \geq 2$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) $(X, d) \in \mathfrak{R}$;
(ii) $|\operatorname{Iso}(X)| = 2$;
(iii) $T_X$ *is strictly binary with exactly one inner node at each level except the last level.*

*Proof.* **(i)⇒(ii)**. Let (i) hold and

$$|\operatorname{Iso}(X)| \neq 2.$$

By Proposition 3.1, the ultrametric space $(X, d)$ is nonrigid. Consequently there exist $\psi_1$, $\psi_2 \in \operatorname{Iso}(X)$ such that

$$\psi_1 \neq \psi_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_1 \neq \mathrm{id}_X \neq \psi_2,$$

where $\mathrm{id}_X$ is the identical mapping of $X$. Since $(X, d) \in \mathfrak{R}$, we can find the sets $\{x_1^1, x_2^1\}$ and $\{x_1^2, x_2^2\}$ such that $\psi_i(x_1^i) = x_2^i$, $\psi_i(x_2^i) = x_1^i$, $i = 1, 2$ and

$$\{x_1^1, x_2^1\} \neq \{x_1^2, x_2^2\}. \tag{3.6}$$

Note that if $\{x_1^1, x_2^1\} = \{x_1^2, x_2^2\}$, then $\psi_1 = \psi_2$ because all points of the set $X \setminus (\{x_1^1, x_2^1\} \cup \{x_1^2, x_2^2\})$ are fixed points of $\psi_1$ and $\psi_2$. A short calculation shows that the set $\{x_1^1, x_2^1\} \cup \{x_1^2, x_2^2\}$ contains no fixed points of the composition $\psi_1 \circ \psi_2$ and that

$$\psi_1 \circ \psi_2(x) = x$$

for every $x \in X \setminus (\{x_1^1, x_2^1\} \cup \{x_1^2, x_2^2\})$. Hence we have

$$|\operatorname{Fix}(\psi_1 \circ \psi_2)| = |X| - 3 \text{ or } |\operatorname{Fix}(\psi_1 \circ \psi_2)| = |X| - 4,$$

that contradicts equality (3.5).

**(ii)⇒(iii)**. Let $|\operatorname{Iso}(X)| = 2$. We must prove (iii). First, we prove that:

($s_1$) For every inner node $v$ of $T_X$, the set $Ch(v)$ of children of $v$ contains at most one inner node and at most two leaves.

Let $v$ be an inner node of $T_X$ and let $v_1$, $v_2 \in Ch(v)$ be distinct inner nodes. Then the balls $B_1 = L_{T_{v_1}}$ and $B_2 = L_{T_{v_2}}$ are disjoint and the inequalities

$$|B_1| \geq 2, \quad |B_2| \geq 2$$

hold. By Proposition 3.1 the metric spaces $(B_1, d)$ and $(B_2, d)$ are nonrigid. Hence there are $\psi_1 \in \operatorname{Iso}(B_1)$ and $\psi_2 \in \operatorname{Iso}(B_2)$ such that

$$\psi_1 \neq \mathrm{id}_{B_1}, \text{ and } \psi_2 \neq \mathrm{id}_{B_2},$$

where $\mathrm{id}_{B_i}$ is the identical mapping of the set $B_i$, $i = 1, 2$. By Lemma 3.3 there are $\psi^1$, $\psi^2 \in \operatorname{Iso}(X)$ such that

$$\psi^1|_{B_1} = \psi_1, \quad \psi^1|_{B_2} = \mathrm{id}_{B_2}, \quad \psi^2|_{B_1} = \mathrm{id}_{B_1}, \quad \psi^2|_{B_2} = \psi_2.$$

Since $\psi^1$, $\psi^2 \in \operatorname{Iso}(X)$ and $\psi^1 \neq \psi^2$ and $\psi^1 \neq \mathrm{id}_X \neq \psi^2$, we have

$$|\operatorname{Iso}(X)| \geq 3,$$

contrary to $|\operatorname{Iso}(X)| = 2$. The first part of ($s_1$) is proved. In what follows we write $B = L_{T_v}$ for short. Let $G_B = G_B[X_1, \ldots, X_k]$ be the diametrical

graph of the ball $B$. A leaf $\{x\}$ of $T_v$ is a child of $v$ if and only if there is $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that $X_i = \{x\}$. Suppose that there are some three distinct leaves among the children of $v$. For certainty, we can assume that

$$X_1 = \{x_1\}, \quad X_2 = \{x_2\} \quad \text{and} \quad X_3 = \{x_3\}.$$

Let $S = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$. Using the definition of the diametrical graphs we can easily prove that every bijection $\alpha\colon S \to S$ can be extended to an isometry of $B$. Consequently, by Lemma 3.3, there is an extension of $\alpha$ to an isometry of $S$. Since $\mathrm{Sym}(S)$ (the group of symmetries of $S$) has the order 6, we have $|\operatorname{Iso}(X)| \geq 6$, contrary to $|\operatorname{Iso}(X)| = 2$. Statement $(s_1)$ follows.

To finished the proof of (iii), it suffices to show that $T_X$ does not contain any node with exactly 3 children. Suppose contrary that $v$ is an node of $T_X$ with 3 children. Write $B = L_{T_v}$. Then we have $G_B = G_B[X_1, X_2, X_3]$. Using $(s_1)$ we can suppose that

$$|X_1| = |X_2| = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |X_3| \geq 2.$$

Let $\{x_1\} = X_1$ and $\{x_2\} = X_2$, $x_1$, $x_2 \in X$, and let $S = \{x_1, x_2\}$. Let us consider $\alpha \in \mathrm{Sym}(S)$ and $\beta \in \operatorname{Iso}(X_3)$. Define $\psi : B \to B$ as

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} \alpha(x) & \text{if } x \in S, \\ \beta(x) & \text{if } x \in X_3. \end{cases}$$

It follows directly from Definition 2.1 that $\psi \in \operatorname{Iso}(B)$. Hence, $|\operatorname{Iso}(B)| \geq 4$. This inequality and Lemma 3.3 imply the inequality $|\operatorname{Iso}(X)| \geq 4$, contrary to $|\operatorname{Iso}(X)| = 2$.

**(iii)⇒(i)**. Let (iii) hold. By Theorem 2.6 to prove (i) it suffices to show that every self-isomorphism $f : V(T_X) \to V(T_X)$ of representing tree $T_X$ has at most two points which are not fixed points. Let us consider a self-isomorphism $f\colon V(T_X) \to V(T_X)$. The root $X$ is evidently a fixed point of $f$. If $v_1'$ and $v_2'$ are the nodes of the second level and $v_2'$ is inner, then according to (iii) $v_2'$ is a leaf and $f(v_1') = v_1'$ and $f(v_2') = v_2'$ because $f$ preserves the levels, the inner nodes and the labels. Similarly we can prove that all vertices on an arbitrary level are fixed if it is not the last level of $T_X$. Now it is sufficient to note that the last level contains exactly two vertices, because $T_X$ is strictly binary and the previous level contains exactly one inner node of $T_X$. □

Using Theorem 3.4 we can obtain the following extremal property of ultrametric spaces belonging to $\mathfrak{R}$.

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let $X$ and $Y$ be finite ultrametric spaces with $|X| = |Y|$. If $Y \in \mathfrak{R}$, then the inequality*

$$|\operatorname{Sp}(X)| \leq |\operatorname{Sp}(Y)| \tag{3.7}$$

*holds.*

*Proof.* Indeed, it was proved by E. R. Gomory and T. C. Hu in [9] that for every finite ultrametric space $X$ we have the inequality

$$|\operatorname{Sp}(X)| \leq |X|.$$



FIGURE 2. The representing tree $T_X$ for $X \in \mathfrak{R}$ with $|X| = 4$.

As was shown in [17] the equality $|\operatorname{Sp}(X)| = |X|$ holds if and only if $T_X$ is strictly binary and the labels of different internal nodes are different. Note that if $Z$ is a finite ultrametric space with $u$, $v \in V(T_Z)$ and $u$ is a child of $v$, then Definition 2.1, Lemma 2.2 and the definition of $T_Z$ imply the strict inequality

$$l(u) < l(v). \tag{3.8}$$

Since, for every $Y \in \mathfrak{R}$, the representing tree $T_Y$ has exactly one inner node on each level expect the last level, inequality (3.8) shows that the labels of different internal nodes are different. Hence (3.7) holds if $Y \in \mathfrak{R}$. □

*Remark* 3.6. If $X$ is a finite ultrametric space and $|X| = |\operatorname{Sp}(X)|$, then $X$ is generally not an element of $\mathfrak{R}$ (see Figure 3).



FIGURE 3. The representing tree for an ultrametric space $X$ with $|X| = |\operatorname{Sp}(X)| = 4$.

## 4. Rigidness, stars and weak similarities

Following [1] denote by $K_{m,n} = K_{m,n}[X, Y]$ a complete bipartite graph such that $|X| = m$ and $|Y| = n$. In the case when $m = 1$ or $n = 1$ such graphs are called *stars*.

The following proposition gives us the first characterization of the class $\mathfrak{R}$ by stars.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let $(X, d)$ be a finite ultrametric space with $|X| \geq 2$. Then $X \in \mathfrak{R}$ if and only if the diametrical graphs $G_B$ are stars for all $B \in \mathbf{B}_X$ with* $\operatorname{diam} B > 0$.

*Proof.* From Lemma 2.4 and the definition of strictly binary trees it follows that the proposition is a reformulation of the logic equivalence (i)⇔(iii) of Theorem 3.4. □

**Definition 4.2.** Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space with a spectrum $\operatorname{Sp}(X)$ and let $r \in \operatorname{Sp}(X)$ be positive. Denote by $G_{r,X}$ a graph for which $V(G_{r,X}) = X$ and

$$(\{u, v\} \in E(G_{r,X})) \Leftrightarrow (d(u, v) = r), \quad u, v \in X.$$

For $r = \operatorname{diam} X$ it is clear that $G_{r,X}$ is the diametrical graph of $X$.

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a nonempty graph, and let $V_0$ be the set (possibly empty) of all isolated vertices of the graph $G$. Denote by $G'$ the subgraph of the graph $G$, generated by the set $V \backslash V_0$.

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let $(X, d)$ be a finite ultrametric space with $|X| \geq 2$. Then $(X, d) \in \mathfrak{R}$ if and only if for every positive $r \in \operatorname{Sp}(X)$ the graph $G'_{r,X}$ is isometric to the star $K_{1,n-p}$, where $p$ is the level of a node of $T_X$ labeled by $r$ and $n = |X| - 1$.*

*Proof.* Let $(X, d) \in \mathfrak{R}$ and let $r \in \operatorname{Sp}(X)$ be positive. Let $x_n$ be a leaf of the last level $n$. Consider the path $(x_n, x_{n-1}, ..., x_0)$ from $x_n$ to the root $x_0 = X$ of $T_X$. Using statement (iii) of Theorem 3.4 and properties of representing trees we conclude that $x_{n-1}, \ldots, x_0$ are the only possible inner nodes of $T_X$ and all labels of $x_n, x_{n-1}, \ldots, x_0$ are different. Hence there is a unique node, say $x_p$, $0 \leq p \leq n-1$ labeled by $r$. Let $x'$ be a direct successor of $x_p$ which is leaf and $x''$ be another direct successor of $x_p$. According to Lemma 2.3 the equality $d(x, y) = r$ is possible only if $x = x'$ and $y \in L_{T_{x''}}$. Since $|L_{T_{x''}}| = n - p$, the graph $G'_{r,X}$ is isomorphic to $K_{1,n-p}$.

The converse follows from Definition 4.2, statement (iii) of Theorem 3.4 and the definition of representing tree $T_X$. □

The following lemma is a reformulation of Theorem 4.1 from [4].

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let $(X, d)$ be a finite ultrametric space with $|X| \geq 2$ and let $G_X$ be the diametrical graph of $X$. Then the inequality*

$$|E(G_X)| \geq |X| - 1 \tag{4.1}$$

*holds. The equality in* (4.1) *occurs if and only if $G_X$ is isomorphic to a star.*

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let $(X,d)$ be a finite ultrametric space with $|X|\geq 2$. If $(X,d)\in\mathfrak{R}$ then for every $Y\subseteq X$, $|Y|\geq 2$, we have $(Y,d)\in\mathfrak{R}$.*

*Proof.* Let $n=|X|$. It is sufficient to prove that $(Y,d)\in\mathfrak{R}$ for the case

$$Y=X\setminus\{x_i\},\quad x_i\in X.$$

Taking into consideration Lemma 2.4, the space $X=\{x_1,...,x_n\}$ for which $T_X$ fulfils statement (iii) of Theorem 3.4 can be the uniquely presented by sequence of nested balls $B_1\subset B_2...\subset B_{n-1}\subset B_n$, where $B_1=\{x_1\}$, $B_i=B_{i-1}\cup\{x_i\}$, $i=2,...,n$. Let us consider the set $\mathbf{B}_Y$. It is clear that the following relations hold

$$\overline{B}_1\subset\overline{B}_2...\subseteq\overline{B}_{n-2}\subset\overline{B}_{n-1} \tag{4.2}$$

where $\overline{B}_1=B_1$, ... , $\overline{B}_{i-1}=B_{i-1}$, $\overline{B}_i=B_{i+1}\setminus\{x_i\}$,...,$\overline{B}_{n-1}=B_n\setminus\{x_i\}$.

Relations (4.2) and Lemma 2.4 imply that $T_Y$ fulfils statement (iii) of Theorem 3.4. □

The next proposition gives us a new characteristic extremal property of spaces $X\in\mathfrak{R}$.

**Proposition 4.6.** *Let $(X,d)$ be a finite ultrametric space with $|X|\geq 2$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(i) $X\in\mathfrak{R}$;

(ii) *The inequality*

$$|E(G_Y)|\leq|E(G_Z)| \tag{4.3}$$

*holds for all $Y\subseteq X$ and all ultrametric spaces $Z$ which satisfy the condition $|Y|=|Z|\geq 2$.*

*Proof.* Let $X\in\mathfrak{R}$. Then by Lemma 4.5 we have $Y\in\mathfrak{R}$ for every $Y\subseteq X$ with $|Y|\geq 2$. Hence $G_Y$ is a star, so that

$$|E(G_Y)|=|Y|-1. \tag{4.4}$$

Lemma 4.4 implies

$$|E(G_Z)|\geq|Z|-1 \tag{4.5}$$

if $Z$ is a finite ultrametric space with $|Z|\geq 2$. Now (4.3) follows from (4.4), (4.5) and the equality $|Y|=|Z|$.

Let (ii) hold and let $B\in\mathbf{B}_X$. Condition (ii) and Lemma 4.4 imply that the diametrical graph $G_B$ is a star. Hence $X\in\mathfrak{R}$ by Proposition 4.1. □

A graph $G=(V,E)$ together with a function $\omega:E\to\mathbb{R}^+$ is called a *weighted graph* with the *weight* $\omega$. The weighted graph will be denoted as $(G,\omega)$. In the following we identify a finite ultrametric space $(X,d)$ with a complete weighted graph $(G,\omega_d)$ such that $V(G)=X$ and

$$\omega_d(\{x,y\})=d(x,y)$$

for all distinct $x$, $y\in X$.

**Lemma 4.7.** *Let $(X, d)$ be an ultrametric space. Then for any cycle $C$ in $(G, \omega_d)$ there exist at least two different edges $e_1$, $e_2 \in E(C)$ such that*

$$\omega_d(e_1) = \omega_d(e_2) = \max_{e \in E(C)} \omega_d(e).$$

If $|E(C)| = 3$, then Lemma 4.7 is a reformulation of the strong triangle inequality. For $|E(C)| \geq 4$, it can be proved by induction on $|E(C)|$. (For details see [5, Lemma 1].)

For a graph $G = (V, E)$ a *Hamiltonian path* is a path in $G$ that visits every vertex of $G$ exactly once. It is clear that a path $P \subseteq G$ is Hamiltonian if and only if $P$ is a spanning tree of $G$. The following theorem gives us some characterizations of ultrametric spaces $(X, d) \in \mathfrak{R}$ via Hamiltonian paths and spanning stars of $(G, \omega_d)$.

**Theorem 4.8.** *Let $(X, d)$ be a finite ultrametric space with $|X| \geq 2$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(i) $(X, d) \in \mathfrak{R}$.

(ii) *The graph $(G, \omega_d)$ has a Hamiltonian path $P = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ such that*

$$\omega_d(\{x_k, x_{k+1}\}) > \omega_d(\{x_{k+1}, x_{k+2}\}) \tag{4.6}$$

*for $k = 1, \ldots, n-2$, where $n = |X|$.*

(iii) *The graph $(G, \omega_d)$ has a spanning star $S$ with*

$$E(S) = \{\{y_0, y_1\}, \ldots, \{y_0, y_{n-1}\}\}$$

*such that*

$$\omega_d(\{y_0, y_i\}) \neq \omega_d(\{y_0, y_j\}) \tag{4.7}$$

*for distinct $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$, where $n = |X|$.*

*Proof.* **(i)⇒(ii)**. Let $(X, d) \in \mathfrak{R}$ and let $n = |X|$. By statement (iii) of Theorem 3.4 the representing tree $T_X$ has exactly one inner node at each level expect the last level and, moreover, the last level contains exactly two leaves. Hence the number of the levels of $T_X$ is $n$ and we can enumerate the points of $X$ in the sequence $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ such that, for $k = 1, \ldots, n-2$, $\{x_k\}$ is the leaf on the $k$-th level and $\{x_{n-1}\}$, $\{x_n\}$ are the leaves of the last level. From the definition of the diametrical graphs it follows that

$$\omega_d(\{x_1, x_2\}) = d(x_1, x_2) = l(X) = \operatorname{diam} X.$$

(Recall that $X$ is the root of $T_X$.)

Similarly, for every $k \in \{2, \ldots, n-1\}$, we have

$$\omega_d(\{x_k, x_{k+1}\}) = d(x_k, x_{k+1}) = l(v_k),$$

where $v_k$ is the unique inner node at the $k$-th level. Note now that if $v$, $u$ are the nodes of $T_X$ and $v$ is a child of $u$, then the inequality

$$l(v) < l(u)$$

holds. It follows directly from statement (iii) of Theorem 3.4 that $v_{k+1}$ is a child of $v_k$ for $k = 1, \ldots, n-2$ and that $v_1$ is a child of $X$. Inequality (4.6) follows.

**(ii)⇒(iii)**. Let $P = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be a Hamiltonian path in $(G, \omega_d)$ such that (4.6) holds for $k = 1, \ldots, n-2$. Let us define $y_i = x_{n-i}$ for $i = 0, \ldots, n-1$. Using Lemma 4.7 with the cycles $C = C_i$ and $C = C_{i+1}$ such that

$$E(C_i) = \{\{y_0, y_1\}, \{y_1, y_2\}, \ldots, \{y_{i-1}, y_i\}, \{y_i, y_0\}\}$$

and

$$E(C_{i+1}) = \{\{y_0, y_1\}, \{y_1, y_2\}, \ldots, \{y_{i-1}, y_i\}, \{y_i, y_{i+1}\}, \{y_{i+1}, y_0\}\}$$

we obtain

$$\omega_d(\{y_0, y_i\}) < \omega_d(\{y_0, y_{i+1}\})$$

for $i = 0, \ldots, n-1$. Statement (iii) follows.

**(iii)⇒(i)**. Let (iii) hold. Then, without loss of generality, we can set

$$X = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$$

and

$$d(x_n, x_1) > d(x_n, x_2) > \ldots > d(x_n, x_{n-1}).$$




FIGURE 4. A spanning star in $(G, \omega_d)$ for $(X, d) \in \mathfrak{R}$ with $|X| = 8$.

Using the strong triangle inequality we obtain that

$$d(x_1, x_i) = \operatorname{diam} X \quad \text{and} \quad d(x_i, x_j) < \operatorname{diam} X$$

for all distinct $i, j \in \{2, \ldots, n\}$. Similarly we see that

$$d(x_k, x_i) = \operatorname{diam}\{x_k, x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_n\} \quad \text{and} \quad d(x_i, x_j) < d(x_k, x_i)$$

if $i, j \in \{k+1, \ldots, n\}$.

Hence statement (iii) of Theorem 3.4 holds. The implication (iii)⇒(i) follows. □

Recall that a cycle $C$ in a graph $G$ is Hamiltonian if $V(C) = V(G)$.

**Corollary 4.9.** *Let $(X, d)$ be a finite ultrametric space with $|X| \geq 3$. Then $(X, d) \in \mathfrak{R}$ if and only if the weighted graph $(G, \omega_d)$ contains a Hamiltonian cycle $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ such that*

$$\omega_d(\{x_1, x_2\}) = \omega_d(\{x_n, x_1\}) = \max_{e \in E(C)} \omega_d(e)$$

*and*

$$\omega_d(\{x_k, x_{k+1}\}) > \omega_d(\{x_{k+1}, x_{k+2}\})$$

*for* $k = 1, \ldots, n-2$.

The proof is immediate from statement (ii) of Theorem 4.8 and Lemma 4.7. The next lemma is a particular case of Theorem 7 from [5].

**Lemma 4.10.** *Let* $(S, \omega)$ *be a weighted star with* $\omega(e) > 0$ *for every* $e \in E(S)$. *Then the following conditions are equivalent*

1. *There is a unique ultrametric space* $(X, d)$ *such that* $X = V(S)$ *and* $d(x, y) = \omega(\{x, y\})$ *for every* $\{x, y\} \in E(S)$.
2. *The weight* $\omega\colon E(S) \to \mathbb{R}^+$ *is an injective function.*

This lemma and statement (iii) of Theorem 4.8 give us the following.

**Corollary 4.11.** *Let* $(X, d)$ *be a finite ultrametric space with* $|X| \geq 2$. *Then* $(X, d) \in \mathfrak{R}$ *if and only if* $(G, \omega_d)$ *contains a spanning star* $S$ *such that, for every ultrametric* $\rho : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$, *we have*

$$(\forall e \in E(C) : \omega_d(e) = \omega_\rho(e)) \Rightarrow (\rho = d).$$

*Remark* 4.12. Using Theorem 2.5 from [7] and Theorem 7 from [5] we can show that the statement

"There is a path $P$ is $(G, \omega_d)$ such that

$$(\forall e \in E(P) : \omega_d(e) = \omega_\rho(e)) \Rightarrow (\rho = d)$$

holds for every ultrametric $\rho : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$"

is equivalent to

$$|X| = |\operatorname{Sp}(X)|.$$

Hence we can not use any Hamiltonian path instead of star in Corollary 4.11.

Recall that a function $\Phi$ from a metric space $(X, d)$ to a metric space $(Y, \rho)$ is a similarity if there is $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$\lambda(d(x, y)) = \rho(\Phi(x), \Phi(y))$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

**Definition 4.13.** Let $(X, d)$ and $(Y, \rho)$ be metric spaces. A bijective mapping $\Phi\colon X \to Y$ is a weak similarity if there is a strictly increasing bijection $f\colon \operatorname{Sp}(X) \to \operatorname{Sp}(Y)$ such that the equality

$$f(d(x, y)) = \rho(\Phi(x), \Phi(y)) \tag{4.8}$$

holds for all $x, y \in X$.

If $\Phi\colon X \to Y$ is a weak similarity we say that $X$ and $Y$ are *weakly similar*. If $(X, d)$ is a finite metric space, then every weak similarity $\Phi\colon X \to X$ is an isometry. The notion of weak similarity was introduced in [6] for more general case of semimetric spaces in a slightly different form.

**Proposition 4.14.** *Let* $(X, d) \in \mathfrak{R}$ *and let* $(Y, \rho)$ *be a metric space. If* $(X, d)$ *and* $(Y, \rho)$ *are weakly similar, then* $(Y, \rho) \in \mathfrak{R}$.

*Proof.* If $\Phi : X \to Y$ is a weak similarity, then $\mathbf{B}_Y = \{\Phi(B) : B \in \mathbf{B}_X\}$ and the mapping

$$\mathbf{B}_X \ni B \mapsto \Phi(B) \in \mathbf{B}_Y$$

is a bijection. It is clear also that

$$(A \subseteq C) \Leftrightarrow (\Phi(A) \subseteq \Phi(C))$$

holds for all $A \subseteq X$ and $C \subseteq X$. Hence the graphs $(\Gamma_X, X)$ and $(\Gamma_Y, Y)$ are isomorphic. Using Theorem 2.8 we obtain that $Y$ is ultrametric and $\overline{T}_Y$ is isomorphic to $\overline{T}_X$. Now $Y \in \mathfrak{R}$ follows from statement (iii) of Theorem 3.4. □

**Proposition 4.15.** *Let $X$, $Y \in \mathfrak{R}$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(i) *The trees $\overline{T}_X$ and $\overline{T}_Y$ are isomorphic as rooted trees.*
(ii) *$X$ and $Y$ are weakly similar.*
(iii) *The equality $|X| = |Y|$ holds.*

*Proof.* The implication **(i)⇒(iii)**. is immediate. Analysis similar to that in the proof of Proposition 4.14 shows that **(ii)⇒(i)**. holds.

Let us prove **(iii)⇒(ii)**. Let $|X| = |Y|$. Write $n = |X| = |Y|$. Statement (ii) of Theorem 4.8 implies that there is a Hamiltonian path $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \subseteq (G, \omega_d)$ and a Hamiltonian path $(y_1, \ldots, y_n) \subseteq (G, \omega_\rho)$ such that

$$\omega_d(\{x_k, x_{k+1}\}) > \omega_d(\{x_{k+1}, x_{k+2}\}) \tag{4.9}$$

and

$$\omega_\rho(\{x_k, x_{k+1}\}) > \omega_\rho(\{x_{k+1}, x_{k+2}\}) \tag{4.10}$$

for $k = 1, \ldots, n-2$. The Gomory-Hu inequality implies that

$$\mathrm{Sp}(X) = \{d(x_k, x_{k+1}) : k = 1, \ldots, n-1\} \cup \{0\}$$

and

$$\mathrm{Sp}(Y) = \{\rho(y_k, y_{k+1}) : k = 1, \ldots, n-1\} \cup \{0\}.$$

Let us define the functions $\Phi : X \to Y$ and $f : \mathrm{Sp}(X) \to \mathrm{Sp}(Y)$ such that

$$\Phi(x_i) = y_i \quad \text{and} \quad f(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f(d(x_k, x_{k+1})) = \rho(x_k, x_{k+1})$$

for $k = 1, \ldots, n-1$.

Inequality (4.9) and (4.10) imply that $f$ is strictly increasing. Moreover, it is clear that $\Phi$ and $f$ are bijective. Now using Lemma 4.7 we obtain that equality (4.8) holds for all $x$, $y \in X$. The implication (iii)⇒(ii). follows. □

*Remark* 4.16. Let $a$, $b > 0$. If $(X, d)$ and $(Y, \rho)$ are ultrametric spaces for which

$$d(x_1, x_2) = a \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(y_1, y_2) = b$$

for all distinct $x_1$, $x_2 \in X$ and $y_1$, $y_2 \in Y$, then $(X, d)$ and $(Y, \rho)$ are weakly similar if and only if $|X| = |Y|$. For these spaces we have also $(X, d) \notin \mathfrak{R}$ and $(Y, \rho) \notin \mathfrak{R}$ if $|X|$, $|Y| \geq 3$.

It seems to be interesting to find a "representing tree description" of the classes of finite ultrametric spaces $X$, $Y$ for which the conditions $|X| = |Y|$, implies that $X$ and $Y$ are weakly similar.

## References


[1] J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty, *Graph theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 244, Springer, New York, 2008.

[2] E. D. Demaine, G. M. Landau, and O. Weimann, *On Cartesian Trees and Range Minimum Queries*, Proceedings of the 36th International Colloquium, ICALP 2009, Rhodes, Greece, July 5-12, 2009, Part I, Lecture notes in Computer Science, vol. 5555, Springer-Berlin-Heidelberg, 2009, pp. 341–353.

[3] R. Diestel, *Graph Theory*, Third ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 173, Springer, Berlin, 2005.

[4] D. Dordovskyi, O. Dovgoshey, and E. Petrov, *Diameter and diametrical pairs of points in ultrametric spaces*, p-Adic Numbers Ultrametric Anal. Appl. **3** (2011), no. 4, 253–262.

[5] O. Dovgoshey and E. Petrov, *Subdominant pseudoultrametric on graphs*, Sb. Math **204** (2013), no. 8, 1131–1151, translation from Mat. Sb. **204** (2013), no. 8, 51–72.

[6] O. Dovgoshey and E. Petrov, *Weak similarities of metric and semimetric spaces*, Acta Math. Hungar. **141** (2013), no. 4, 301–319.

[7] O. Dovgoshey, E. Petrov, and H.-M. Teichert, *On spaces extremal for the Gomory-Hu inequality*, p-Adic Numbers Ultrametric Anal. Appl. **7** (2015), no. 2, 133–142.

[8] Miroslav Fiedler, *Ultrametric sets in Euclidean point spaces*, Electron. J. Linear Algebra **3** (1998), 23–30.

[9] R. E. Gomory and T. C. Hu, *Multi-terminal network flows*, J. Soc. Indust. Appl. Math. **9** (1961), 551–570.

[10] V. Gurvich and M. Vyalyi, *Characterizing (quasi-)ultrametric finite spaces in terms of (directed) graphs*, Discrete Appl. Math. **160** (2012), no. 12, 1742–1756.

[11] V. Gurvich and M. Vyalyi, *Ultrametrics, trees, flows, and bottleneck arcs*, Mathematical Education, series 3, vol. 16, MCCME, Moscow, 2012, (In Russian).

[12] J. E. Holly, *Pictures of Ultrametric Spaces, the p-Adic Numbers, and Valued Fields*, Amer. Math. Monthly **108** (2001), no. 8, 721–728.

[13] B. Hughes, *Trees and ultrametric spaces: a categorical equivalence*, Adv. Math. **189** (2004), no. 1, 148–191.

[14] B. Hughes, *Trees, ultrametrics, and noncommutative geometry*, Pure Appl. Math. Q. **8** (2012), no. 1, 221–312.

[15] A. J. Lemin, *On Gelgfand's problem concerning graphs, lattices, and ultrametric spaces*, AAA62 - Workshop on General Algebra (2001), 12–13.

[16] Alex J. Lemin, *The category of ultrametric spaces is isomorphic to the category of complete, atomic, tree-like, and real graduated lattices* $\mathbf{LAT}^*$, Algebra Univers. **50** (2003), no. 1, 35–49.

[17] E. Petrov and A. Dovgoshey, *On the Gomory-Hu inequality*, J. Math. Sci. **198** (2014), no. 4, 392–411, Translation from Ukr. Mat. Visn. **10** (2013), no. 4, 469–496.

[18] E. A. Petrov, *Ball-preserving mappings of finite ulrametric spaces*, Proceedings of IAMM **26** (2013), 150–158, (In Russian).

O. Dovgoshey
Division of Applied Problems in Contemporary Analysis
Institute of Mathematics of NASU
Tereshenkivska str. 3
Kyiv 01601
Ukraine
e-mail: aleksdov@mail.ru

E. Petrov
Division of Applied Problems in Contemporary Analysis
Institute of Mathematics of NASU
Tereshenkivska str. 3
Kyiv 01601
Ukraine
e-mail: eugeniy.petrov@gmail.com

H.-M. Teichert
Institute of Mathematics
University of Lübeck
Ratzeburger Allee 160
23562 Lübeck
Germany
e-mail: teichert@math.uni-luebeck.de